



Objective:

To summarize evidence-based recommendations for the management of antithrombotic medications in patients with surgical or transcatheter valve replacement or repair.

Background:

Surgical heart valve replacement can be done with either a bioprosthetic (tissue) or mechanical prosthesis and requires cardiopulmonary bypass, aortic cross-clamping, and sternotomy or thoracotomy. Transcatheter (bioprosthetic aortic, mitral, tricuspid or pulmonic) valve replacement is performed percutaneously, most commonly through the femoral vessels, and avoids the greater procedural risks involved with surgical valve replacement but is lacking longer-term (15-20+ years) outcome data. Valvular repairs can be performed using a surgical or transcatheter approach.

Antithrombotic Management in Surgical Bioprosthetic Valves

The reported rates of surgical bioprosthetic aortic valve thrombosis and thromboembolism in older studies prior to the use of antithrombotic therapy varies between 0.4-2.8% per patient year respectively with higher rates reported for surgical bioprosthetic mitral valves. These rates are likely underestimates.

Recommendations for antithrombotic therapy in this population are derived from older, lower quality, observational data. Accordingly, professional society (American College of Chest Physicians, American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology and European Society of Cardiology) recommendations differ. Recommendations are summarized in **Table 1**.

Without another indication for anticoagulation:

- In patients with a bioprosthetic aortic valve who are in sinus rhythm and have no other indications for anticoagulant therapy, warfarin for 3-6 months (INR target 2.5) or aspirin (ASA) 81 mg daily may be used. Thereafter, long-term ASA 81 mg daily may be considered.
- In patients with a bioprosthetic mitral valve who are in sinus rhythm and have no other indications for anticoagulant therapy, warfarin for 3-6 months (INR target 2.5) or ASA 81 mg daily may be used. Thereafter, long-term ASA 81 mg daily may be considered.
- In patients with a bioprosthetic tricuspid or pulmonic valve, warfarin for 3 months (INR target 2.5) or ASA 81 mg/day is suggested.

With another indication for anticoagulation (e.g., atrial fibrillation)

Patients with a bioprosthetic valve and atrial fibrillation should receive long-term anticoagulant therapy as outlined in the **Clinical Guide, [Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation](#)**. While the RIVER (n=1005) and ENAVLE (n=220) trials have provided evidence for the non-inferiority of rivaroxaban and edoxaban compared to warfarin in patients with surgical bioprosthetic valve replacement or repair with or without AF, few patients in the much larger RIVER trial were enrolled in the first three months after surgery. Additionally, concerning from a safety perspective was the increased rate of pericardial bleeding with dabigatran as compared to warfarin seen in the early post-operative cardiac surgery period in the RE-ALIGN trial. As such, although warfarin is still recommended in guidelines for the first three months after valve implantation, direct oral anticoagulants may be preferred in the longer term.

Antithrombotic Management after Surgical Valve Repair

In patients without a separate indication for anticoagulation, guidelines recommend ASA for at least 3 months after aortic valve repair, ASA or warfarin for 3 months after mitral valve repair, and warfarin for 3 months after tricuspid valve repair.

Antithrombotic Management in Transcatheter Valves

With the exception of transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR), few randomized data are available to guide antithrombotic therapy after transcatheter valve intervention. However, given that transcatheter interventions are typically reserved for older patients with significant comorbidities, it bears remembering that both thrombotic and bleeding risks after transcatheter valve intervention may be driven more by the patient than the valve intervention itself, which may impact clinical decision making around antithrombotic therapy.

Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR)

TAVR may be used as an alternative to surgical valve replacement in older patients and/or in patients at intermediate or greater perioperative risk. There are currently 2 catheter-delivered valve systems in widespread clinical use to treat aortic stenosis. The SAPIEN valves (Edwards Lifesciences Inc., Irvine, CA) utilize a bovine pericardial valve mounted on a balloon-expandable stent which is placed entirely within the native diseased valve. The Evolut CoreValve ReValving System (Medtronic Inc, Minneapolis, MN) consists of a porcine pericardial valve mounted on a self-expanding stent which extends into the ascending aorta for stabilization.

Without another indication for anticoagulation

In patients without a separate indication for anticoagulation, previous guidelines have recommended the use of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) for 3-6 months after TAVR based on protocols from the original clinical trials comparing TAVR to surgical aortic valve replacement which employed the use of 1-6 months of DAPT. The empiric use of DAPT in these trials was supported by observations that almost 75% of patients undergoing TAVR had evidence of embolic debris with fibrin or thrombotic material and DAPT was postulated to reduced peri-procedural and longer-term atherothrombotic events. More recent data including a patient-level meta-analysis of four randomized controlled trials, however, found an increased risk of bleeding with no reduction in clinical thrombosis in those receiving DAPT as compared to ASA. Furthermore, anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy compared to antiplatelet therapy alone increased the risk of bleeding and death in TAVR patients without another indication for anticoagulation. Accordingly, recommendations in recent guidelines have shifted and now largely recommend single antiplatelet therapy. The 2020 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association, which suggests consideration of DAPT for 3-6 months or warfarin (target INR 2.5) for 3 months in patients at low risk of bleeding, were published before these more recent data become available.

With another indication for anticoagulation (e.g., atrial fibrillation)

TAVR patients with another indication for anticoagulation, such as atrial fibrillation, should receive an oral anticoagulant as per guidelines (See Clinical Guide: [Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation](#)). The ENVISAGE-TAVI trial provided evidence of comparable benefit with direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) as compared to warfarin in TAVR patients. In this study, edoxaban was non-inferior to warfarin, but was associated with a higher risk of major bleeding, primarily gastrointestinal. The addition of antiplatelet therapy is not recommended in this population given the results of the POPular TAVI trial which found that the addition of clopidogrel to anticoagulation therapy increased bleeding risk without benefit.

Transcatheter Mitral Valve Replacement

No transcatheter devices are approved for transcatheter mitral valve replacement in North America, but in specific clinical scenarios, the Edwards SAPIEN TAVR valve can be used off-label. These patients are generally treated with three months of anticoagulation, followed by aspirin alone.

Transcatheter Tricuspid Valve Replacement

In patients with severe tricuspid regurgitation who are deemed too high risk to undergo surgical tricuspid valve repair or replacement, the EVOQUE transcatheter tricuspid valve (Edwards Lifesciences Inc., Irvine, CA) is a less invasive alternative. It received FDA approval in February 2024, and optimal antithrombotic therapy remains uncertain. In the TRISCEND II trial, comparing the EVOQUE valve to medical therapy in 400 patients with severe symptomatic tricuspid regurgitation, those randomized to receive the valve were treated with 6 months of warfarin or another anticoagulant plus aspirin. However, 10.4% of patients had a major bleeding event within 30 days of valve implantation. Over 1 year of follow up, 5 patients had a fatal stroke or thromboembolic event. Overall stroke and thromboembolic event rates are not reported. Balancing thrombotic and bleeding risk in this high-risk population of patients (who often have significant right ventricular dysfunction and liver congestion) requires further study.

Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve Replacement

Transcatheter pulmonary valve replacement is most commonly performed in patients with congenital heart disease and previous surgical intervention on the pulmonary valve (e.g., Tetralogy of Fallot repair) or previous right ventricle to pulmonary artery conduit. The Melody transcatheter valve is most frequently used (Medtronic plc, Minneapolis, MN). No randomized data are available to guide antithrombotic therapy in this population. Aspirin alone is most commonly used and is likely safe.

Transcatheter Mitral or Tricuspid Valve Repair

The MitraClip and TriClip devices (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL) are indicated for transcatheter repair of mitral and tricuspid regurgitation. In contrast to transcatheter valves, which are composed of bovine or porcine pericardial leaflets mounted onto a rigid ring and surrounded by scaffolding, the MitraClip and TriClip devices measure only 6mmx12mm and are composed of two polyester-covered arms which are attached to the valve leaflets to prevent excessive leaflet motion.

Guidelines provide no recommendations with respect to antithrombotic therapy in this population. Until additional comparative data are available, it may be reasonable in patients without a separate indication for anticoagulation to follow the protocols of the major trials of these devices, which used either aspirin alone or aspirin plus clopidogrel for 3-6 months followed by lifelong aspirin.

Table 1: Antithrombotic Management in Patients with Bioprosthetic Heart Valves in the absence of other indication for anticoagulation

Bioprosthetic Valve Location/Type	Antithrombotic Recommendation
Surgical aortic valve	Warfarin or ASA x 3-6 months, then consider ASA indefinitely
Surgical mitral valve	Warfarin or ASA x 3-6 months, then consider ASA indefinitely
Surgical tricuspid valve	Warfarin x 3 months, then ASA indefinitely
Transcatheter aortic valve replacement	ASA indefinitely
Transcatheter pulmonary valve replacement	ASA indefinitely
Transcatheter tricuspid valve replacement (i.e., EVOQUE)	Warfarin or other anticoagulant plus ASA x 6 months, then consider ASA indefinitely
Transcatheter mitral or tricuspid valve repair (i.e., MitraClip or TriClip)	ASA + clopidogrel x 3-6 months, then consider ASA indefinitely

Subclinical Valve Thrombosis

The entity of subclinical valve thrombosis, characterized by hypoattenuated valve leaflet thickening on CT with reduced leaflet motion in the absence of clinical symptoms, initially identified in TAVR patients, is associated with an increased risk of stroke. More recently, it has also been recognized in surgical aortic valve replacement patients. A number of trials (GALILEO, ATLANTIS and ADAPT-TAVR) have examined DOAC use in TAVR patients with or without a long-term indication for anticoagulation. Overall, it appears that anticoagulation reduces the risk of subclinical valve thrombosis as compared to DAPT, however, GALILEO was stopped early due to excess mortality in the rivaroxaban group, with a similar trend seen in ATLANTIS. The value of screening for subclinical valve thrombosis and anticoagulation for its prevention and/or treatment requires further study and cannot be routinely recommended at this time. However, patients found to have increased gradients on echocardiogram or thrombosis on CT should likely receive anticoagulation with warfarin.

Mechanical Valves

There are 3 basic types of mechanical valves:

- Bileaflet (e.g. On-X, St. Judes, most frequently seen today)
- Tilting disc (e.g. Bjork-Shiley, infrequently seen today)
- Ball-cage (e.g. Starr-Edwards, rarely seen today)

Patients with mechanical heart valves are at increased risk for embolic stroke and thrombosis of the valve itself and, therefore, require lifelong anticoagulation. Even with anticoagulation, the risk of stroke/valve thrombosis is ~0.5%/year for mechanical aortic valves, ~0.9%/year with mechanical mitral valves, and ~1.2%/year in those with both aortic and mitral mechanical valves. Mechanical mitral valves are hypothesized to be more thrombogenic than mechanical aortic valves because they are exposed to passive blood flow from the left atrium to the left ventricle; thus they require more intensive anticoagulation

compared to mechanical aortic valves. Mechanical valves are rarely used in the tricuspid position, in part because they are at very high risk of thrombosis due to passive and low-pressure blood flow from the right atrium to the right ventricle.

In selecting the optimal anticoagulation for patients with a mechanical heart valve, it is also important to consider the risk of bleeding, the different INR targets depending on valve type and location, and the need for bridging anticoagulant therapy for surgical procedures.

The On-X aortic mechanical valve has design features and construction materials thought to reduce thrombogenicity and flow turbulence compared to other valve designs; theoretically allowing a lower intensity INR target range to protect from valve thrombosis that could result in a lower rate of anticoagulant associated major bleeding. The safety of a lower target range INR (1.5 to 2.0) compared to the traditional target range INR (2.0 to 3.0) following placement of the On-X aortic valve implant was evaluated in the PROACT study. Patients with at least one additional risk factor for stroke were randomized after 3 months of warfarin anticoagulation with an INR target of 2.5 to either the lower INR target range or to continue with the traditional INR target range. All subjects received concurrent low dose ASA 81 mg/day. The study found that the lower target INR range was non-inferior to the traditional INR range with respect to the primary endpoint of composite of major and minor bleeding, TE events, and valve thrombosis which was driven by statistically significant reductions in major and minor bleeding. However, the study found numerically higher rates of stroke, transient ischemic attack, any neurological event and systemic embolism in the lower target INR range group. Although the American Heart Association guidelines state that the lower target INR range is reasonable in patients with On-X valves, the lower target INR range is not endorsed in the European Society of Cardiology guidelines.

The use of a lower target INR range in patients with an On-X mitral mechanical valve was subsequently tested in the PROACT mitral valve trial in patients enrolled at least 3 months after valve replacement. The study which compared a lower target INR range of 2.0-2.5 with the traditional target range of 2.5-3.5 was stopped early due to failure of lower target INR range to meet non-inferiority with respect to the primary outcome thromboembolism, valve thrombosis, and bleeding events.

Antithrombotic Agents and Dosing for Patients with Mechanical Valves:

Warfarin

Long-term warfarin therapy is indicated in all patients with mechanical heart valves. The target INR is dependent on the valve type and manufacturer (e.g. bileaflet or tilting disc, St. Jude or On-X) and location (e.g. aortic or mitral). See **Table 2** below for INR targets.

Aspirin

It is recommended that patients with a mechanical aortic or mitral valve who are at low risk of bleeding should receive ASA 81 mg daily in addition to warfarin therapy. Caution should be used in patients with an increased bleeding risk, especially with a history of gastrointestinal bleeding.

Direct Oral Anticoagulants

The direct oral anticoagulants, including dabigatran, apixaban, rivaroxaban and edoxaban, are contraindicated in patients with mechanical heart valves. Randomized trials (RE-ALIGN and PROACT-Xa) demonstrated that dabigatran and apixaban are associated with more thrombosis

(RE-ALIGN and PROACT-Xa) and bleeding (RE-ALIGN) compared with warfarin in patients with mechanical heart valves.

Table 2: Anticoagulant Drug Management in Patients with Mechanical Heart Valves

Mechanical Valve Location/Type	INR Target and Range	Suggestion for ASA (81 mg daily)‡
Aortic	2.5 (range 2.0-3.0)†	Yes
Mitral (any manufacturer)	3.0 (range 2.5-3.5)	Yes
Combined aortic and mitral	3.0 (range 2.5-3.5)	Yes
Tricuspid	3.0 (range 2.5-3.5)	Yes

† Higher-intensity INR (target: 3.0) can be considered in selected patients with additional risk factors for stroke and in patients with ball-cage valves (e.g. Starr-Edwards).

++Higher-intensity INR (target 2.5) should be continued beyond 3 months for On-X aortic valves in patients who also have atrial fibrillation or additional risk factors for stroke.

‡ Co-administration of ASA should be considered in selected patients at low risk for bleeding.

Special Considerations:

Periprocedural Management

In patients with a mechanical heart valve who need an elective surgery or procedure, bridging with unfractionated heparin or low molecular weight heparin is indicated prior to the surgery or procedure, but may be required post procedure (PERI-OP 2). [See **Clinical Guide**, [Warfarin: Perioperative Management](#)]. Interruption of warfarin in patients with mechanical heart valves is not recommended for minor procedures, such as cataract removal, dental procedures and skin biopsies.

Pregnancy in Women with Mechanical Heart Valves

Pregnant women with mechanical heart valves are at especially high risk of developing thrombotic complications; however, relatively little evidence is available to guide recommendations. These women should be managed by multidisciplinary teams experienced in the care of these patients. Women of childbearing age who have mechanical heart valves should receive preconception counseling regarding risks associated with prosthetic valves and the risks and benefits of antithrombotic therapy.

Therapeutic anticoagulation should continue throughout the pregnancy for all women with mechanical valves. Warfarin is effective in preventing thrombotic complications in pregnant women with mechanical valves, but is associated with risks of teratogenicity, mostly with use during the first trimester, fetal loss and, if used close to term, neonatal hemorrhage. It has been reported that warfarin at low doses is less likely to be associated with adverse fetal outcomes and current guidelines suggest that in women requiring 5 mg per day or less of warfarin, warfarin may be continued throughout the pregnancy while in those who require more than 5 mg per day, twice-daily LMWH monitored with regular anti-Xa testing and dose adjustment or intravenous unfractionated heparin can be considered instead of warfarin for the first trimester. It is important to note that warfarin embryopathy, miscarriage, and stillbirth have been reported in women taking less than 5 mg of warfarin per day. Therefore, in well-informed women who place a

relatively higher value on avoiding teratogenic effects, monitored twice-daily LMWH may be considered throughout pregnancy. ASA 81 mg daily can be added during the second and third trimesters to further reduce the thrombotic risk. All pregnant women with mechanical valves should have planned deliveries and should be switched to unfractionated heparin prior to delivery to minimize the time off anticoagulants in the peri-delivery period.

Pediatrics

There are few studies and no randomized controlled trials on the safety and efficacy of antithrombotic therapy post-heart valve placement in children. Children should be managed post-valve placement by a cardiologist and adult recommendations for management should be followed.

Other Relevant Thrombosis Canada Clinical Guides:

- [Acetyl Salicylic Acid \(ASA\)](#)
- [Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation](#)
- [Warfarin](#)
- [Warfarin: Management of Out-of-Range INRs](#)
- [Warfarin: Peri-Operative Management](#)
- [Warfarin: Point-of-Care INR Monitoring](#)

References:

Asgar AW, et al. 2019 Canadian Cardiovascular Society position statement for transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Can J Cardiol*. 2019;35(11):1437-1448.

Brouwer J, et al. Aspirin alone versus dual antiplatelet therapy after transcatheter aortic valve implantation: A systematic review and patient-level meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2021;10(8):e019604.

Chu MWA, et al; PROACT Mitral Investigators. Low-dose vs standard warfarin after mechanical mitral valve replacement: a randomized trial. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2023 Apr;115(4):929-938.

Collet JP, et al. Apixaban vs. standard of care after transcatheter aortic valve implantation: the ATLANTIS trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2022;43(29):2783-2797.

Dangas GD, et al. A controlled trial of rivaroxaban after transcatheter aortic-valve replacement. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382:120-129.

Eikelboom JW, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with mechanical heart valves. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(13):1206-14.

Guimarães HP, et al. Rivaroxaban in patients with atrial fibrillation and a bioprosthetic mitral valve. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(22):2117-2126.

Hahn RT, et al. Transcatheter valve replacement in severe tricuspid regurgitation. *New Eng J Med*. 2024;392:115-126.

Kovacs MJ, et al. Postoperative low molecular weight heparin bridging treatment for patients at high risk of arterial thromboembolism (PERIOP2): double blind randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2021;373:n1205.

Maznyczka A, Pilgrim T. Antithrombotic treatment after transcatheter valve interventions: current status and future directions. *Clin Ther*. 2023;S0149-2918(23)00393-4.

McIntock C. Thromboembolism in pregnancy: challenges and controversies in the prevention of pregnancy-associated thromboembolism and management of anticoagulation in women with mechanical prosthetic heart valves. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2014;28(4):519-536.

Montalescot G, et al. Apixaban and valve thrombosis after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: The ATLANTIS-4D-CT randomized clinical trial substudy. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2022;15(18):1794-1804.

Nijenhuis VJ, et al. Anticoagulation with or without clopidogrel after transcatheter aortic-valve implantation. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382:1696-1707.

Obadia JF, et al. Percutaneous repair of medical treatment for secondary mitral regurgitation. *New Eng J Med*. 2018;379(24):2297-2306.

Otto CM, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2021;143(5):e72-e227.

Park DW, et al. Edoxaban versus dual antiplatelet therapy for leaflet thrombosis and cerebral thromboembolism after TAVR: The ADAPT-TAVR randomized clinical trial. *Circulation*. 2022;146(6):466-479.

Puskas JD, et al; PROACT Investigators. Anticoagulation and antiplatelet strategies after on-x mechanical aortic valve replacement. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018 Jun 19;71(24):2717-2726.

Shibbani K, et al. Aspirin use and transcatheter pulmonary valve replacement, the need for consistency. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2021;42(7):1640-1646.

Shim CY, et al. Efficacy and safety of edoxaban in patients early after surgical bioprosthetic valve implantation or valve repair: A randomized clinical trial. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2023;165(1):58-67.e4.

Sorajja O, et al. Transcatheter repair for patients with tricuspid regurgitation. *New Eng J Med*. 2023;388(20):1833-1842.

Stone G, et al. Transcatheter mitral-valve repair in patients with heart failure. *New Eng J Med*. 2018;379(24):2307-2318.

Unverdorben M, et al. Edoxaban versus vitamin K antagonist for atrial fibrillation after TAVR. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(23):2150-2160.

Urena M, et al. Current indications for transcatheter mitral valve replacement using transcatheter aortic valves: valve-in-valve, valve-in-ring, and valve-in-mitral annulus calcification. *Circulation* 2021;143(2):178-196.

Vahanian A, et al. ESC/EACTS Scientific Document Group. 2021 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. *Eur Heart J*. 2022;43(7):561-632.

Wang TY, et al. Apixaban or warfarin in patients with an On-X mechanical aortic valve. *NEJM Evid*; 2023;2(7).

Whitlock RP, et al. Antithrombotic and thrombolytic therapy for valvular disease: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141(2Suppl):e576S-600S.

Woldendorp K, et al. Subclinical valve thrombosis in transcatheter aortic valve implantation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2021;162(5):1491-1499.e2.

Date of Version: 15October2025

Please note that the information contained herein is not to be interpreted as an alternative to medical advice from your doctor or other professional healthcare provider. If you have any specific questions about any medical matter, you should consult your doctor or other professional healthcare providers, and as such you should never delay seeking medical advice, disregard medical advice or discontinue medical treatment because of the information contained herein.